## Submission Draft – In Response to the Whistleblower Protection Authority Bill 2025

**To the Senate Committee reviewing the Whistleblower Protection Authority Bill 2025,**

Thank you for the opportunity to provide a submission regarding this proposed legislation.

### ⚖️ 1. True Protection Requires True Sovereignty

The Bill proposes a government-managed framework for whistleblower protection. While the intention appears noble, the mechanism is deeply flawed. True whistleblower protection cannot be achieved through additional layers of state bureaucracy — especially when **those very institutions are often the source of the wrongdoing**.

Whistleblowers should be protected by **Common Law** and **Trial by Jury**, not government appointees acting within the bounds of statute.

### 🏛️ 2. Centralized Control Disguised as Support

The creation of a **Whistleblower Protection Authority** hands immense discretionary power to a Commissioner appointed by the Minister. This is not independence — it is state-managed “watchdog” oversight. The same applies to Deputy Commissioners and the Advisory Council.

There is no accountability to the people. There is no route to **jury-based annulment of unjust actions**, nor any reference to **Magna Carta 1215**, which remains the lawful Constitution of the people.

### 📉 3. Lack of Remedy Outside the System

The Bill does not provide avenues for:

* Common Law restitution
* Community tribunal or jury intervention
* Appeal outside government-controlled bodies

A whistleblower protection regime that **limits remedy to the very system being exposed** is not protection — it is management.

### 🚨 4. Immunities and Legal Protections for Bureaucrats

Clause 64 grants civil immunity to staff of the Authority acting “in good faith.” Yet no such immunity is provided to whistleblowers unless deemed eligible. This creates a two-tier system:

* **Bureaucrats are protected from consequences.**
* **Whistleblowers must qualify for limited support.**

### 📣 5. Human Rights Language = PR, Not Lawful Protection

The Human Rights section references international covenants, but these **do not override Common Law or Natural Law**, nor do they establish a legitimate constitutional basis for governance. This Bill relies on **UN-derived policy instruments**, not lawful authority vested in the people.

### ✅ Recommendation

If Parliament is genuinely concerned with justice and transparency, then:

* **Recognize the people as sovereign**, under Magna Carta 1215 and Natural Law.
* Restore **Trial by Jury with the power to judge law and fact**.
* Enable **whistleblower access to community tribunals**, outside state-controlled bodies.
* Remove ministerial control over appointments in integrity bodies.

Until then, this Bill — while well-crafted on its face — serves only to **legitimize control and contain dissent**, rather than restore justice.

Respectfully submitted,  
**Chris Mader**  
Constitutional Researcher and Advocate for the Restoration of Democracy